

BOARD OF INQUIRY INTO THE COVID-19 HOTEL QUARANTINE PROGRAM

AFFIDAVIT OF BRAEDAN HOGAN

Date of document: 3 November 2020

Filed on behalf of the Department of Health and Human Services

Prepared by:

MINTER ELLISON

Lawyers

Rialto Towers

525 Collins Street

MELBOURNE VIC 3000


Solicitors Code 8510
DX 204 MELBOURNE
Telephone (03) 8608 2000
Facsimile (03) 8608 1000
Reference RLB 1305953

I, **BRAEDAN HOGAN**, of 50 Lonsdale Street, Melbourne in the State of Victoria, Deputy Director, Strategy and Policy, Emergency Management Branch, Department of Health and Human Services (the **Department**), affirm and say:


1. Since January 2020, I have held the role of Deputy Director, Strategy and Policy, Emergency Management Branch at the Department. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic I filled the role of the Department State Agency Commander until August 2020, in this role the majority of my time was dedicated to the effective delivery of relief supports such as accommodation, food and material aid and financial support for people with a COVID-19 diagnosis or their close contacts.
2. I was directly involved in the Hotel Quarantine Program from the conception on the program on the 27 March 2020 through to 18 April 2020 when the Operation Soteria – Emergency Operations Centre was activated.

Notice to Produce

3. On 28 October 2020, The Board of Inquiry into the COVID-19 Hotel Quarantine Program (**Board**) served on the solicitors for the Department, Minter Ellison a Notice to Produce known as NTP-169. NTP-169 required me to provide an affidavit answering three questions. I make this affidavit to the Board in response to NTP-169.
4. This affidavit has been prepared with the assistance of lawyers and Departmental officers.
5. I make this affidavit based on matters within my knowledge and documents and records of the Department. I have also used and relied upon data and information produced or provided to me by officers within the Department.
6. Now produced and shown to me and marked BH-1 is an index detailing each of the documents and emails referred to in my affidavit. I understand that those documents which have not been previously produced to the Board will be produced to the Board in the usual manner.



Page 1

.....
 (signature of authorised affidavit taker)  (signature of person swearing or affirming the affidavit)

QUESTIONS

DHS.5000.0077.9228

1. Documents recently provided to the Board of Inquiry into the COVID-19 Hotel Quarantine Program (Board) include an email sent by you to Andrea Spiteri on 1 April 2020 at or around 20:07:03 hrs (DHS.5000.0077.9228). This email forwarded an email sent by Dr Finn Romanes to you on 1 April 2020 at or about 8:03 PM with the following comments and questions from you:

"Assume this is separate to operational control under Dept state health controller?"

Not clear on this vs that role

Or is Finn just talking about P policy"

Did you ever receive any response or acknowledgement to this email, either:

- (a) by way of reply email or other documentation; or
(b) verbally?

Please provide details of all such responses or acknowledgements, including copies of any documentary responses, or if the responses were not in documentary form, please provide details (as best you can recall) of who such responses were from, the contents of the response(s), when they were received and by whom they were expressed.

7. I believe I did not receive a response or acknowledgment to the email I sent to Andrea Spiteri at about 20:07:03 hrs on 1 April 2020 (My 1 April Email) by way of reply email. I have drawn this conclusion having searched my inbox of my Outlook emails. I have asked the Department to conduct an electronic search of its email system, and if that search locates any response or acknowledgment to My 1 April Email, it will be produced to the Board.
8. I do not recall receiving any response or acknowledgement to My 1 April Email by way of other documentation.
9. To the best of my knowledge, I do not recall receiving any verbal response or acknowledgment to My 1 April Email.

EXHIBIT 230 (DHS.0001.0123.0011)

2. Exhibit 230 includes an email sent by you at or about 6:43pm on 27 March 2020 (Your Email).
- (a) Did you discuss the contents of Your Email, including the reference to private security being contracted, with Professor Sutton at any time
- (i) prior to sending Your Email;
- (ii) after sending Your Email;
- (iii) prior to late May 2020?
- (b) Other than by reason of the contents of Your Email, do you have any and if so what:
- (i) bases; and/or

Greg Carford

Page 2

(signature of authorised affidavit taker).....(signature of person swearing or affirming the affidavit)

(ii) reason(s),

to believe that Professor Sutton knew about the use of private security in the Hotel Quarantine Program prior to late May 2020?

If so, please provide details and include copies of any relevant documents.

10. I did not discuss the contents of the email I sent at about 6:43pm on 27 March 2020 (**My 27 March Email**) being part of Exhibit 230 with Professor Sutton or at any time prior to sending My 27 March Email, after sending My 27 March Email, or prior to late May 2020.
11. I sent My 27 March Email after Professor Sutton tasked me to respond directly to the Department of Home Affairs' queries and copy him in on my response, which I did.
12. Professor Sutton responded to the copy of My 27 March Email at 6:45pm, being part of Exhibit 230, saying "Thanks so much, Braedan."
13. I have no foundational basis to form a view as to whether or not Professor Sutton had knowledge of the use of private security in the Hotel Quarantine Program prior to late May 2020.
14. In preparing my affidavit I have located the following documents in which Professor Sutton is either a recipient of, is noted as having approved, or of which a person under his command were a recipient of, which may bear upon the issue.
15. On 27 March 2020, directly after the 4:30pm State Control Centre meeting and following instruction from Dr Clare Looker, Deputy Public Health Commander – Operations, I amended draft answers via email, to queries that staff from the Department of Jobs, Precincts and Regions (DJPR) had received from staff of Crown Resorts (see DHS.0001.0132.0185).
16. Crown Resorts staff had asked operational queries of DJPR, including the security arrangements that would be in place. My understanding was that my responses would be sent onto the DJPR to provide back to Crown Resorts.
17. In responding to the task from Dr Looker, I updated a draft response to one of the questions in the email to state "Private security will be in place with escalation arrangements in place to VicPol". That was information that I acquired at the 4:30pm State Control Centre meeting from conversations regarding security and Victoria Police between Ms Claire Feeby, DJPR, Assistant Commissioner Grainger, VicPol and Commissioner Crisp, EMV. This was also the basis of my response to the Department of Home Affairs in My 27 March Email.
18. Dr Looker was one recipient of my response email at 6:36pm and she did not reply nor acknowledge my email.
19. At 2:00pm on 28 March 2020, a meeting occurred between representatives of the security companies, DJPR staff and Department staff – I was not present at this meeting. Following the meeting, at 5:41pm an email was sent from DJPR to a number of Department staff including

Gray Carford

Page 3

(signature of authorised affidavit taker) (signature of person swearing or affirming the affidavit)

- myself, that attached a document titled 'Security in hotels – roles and responsibilities', which I understand DJPR developed without input from the Department (see DHS.0001.0132.0133 and DHS.0001.0132.0137).
20. DJPR requested the Department review the attachment to support the operational delivery of security at the hotels. I forwarded the document to Dr Finn Romanes and representatives from the Department Legal and Communications teams, tasking them to review the attached documents as their contents related to items within their responsibilities. I received an Out-of-Office email from Dr Romanes and on-sent the email to REDACTED who was Public Health Commander on the 28 March 2020 (see DHS.0001.0132.0001).
 21. REDACTED replied to my email at 8:10pm, with the document attached stating, 'This looks fine. It will need a final check once the Directions are issued and the appendices are developed.' and copied Dr Simon Crouch, who was the incoming Public Health Commander for the 29 March 2020 (see DHS.0001.0132.0133 and DHS.0001.0132.0137).
 22. On 1 April 2020, I was copied to an email from Dr Finn Romanes, being part of Exhibit 114, in which Dr Romanes conveys the approved smoking policy for people in detention which had received the approval of Professor Sutton. There are two references to the use of security in the policy. Professor Sutton is also copied to that email.
 23. On 10 April 2020, I received through the State Emergency Management Centre (SEMC) email address, a copy of the email from Dr Finn Romanes of 3 April 2020, being part of Exhibit 114, sent to Professor Sutton and Dr Annalise Van Diemen seeking their approval of the Physical Distancing and Public Health Compliance and Enforcement Plan dated 4 April 2020 (the Plan). The Plan makes several references to the use of security at hotels (see DHS.0001.0132.0067).
 24. I received this email as I have an automatic email forward setup for any emails sent to the SEMC email address to maintain situational awareness to fulfil the role as the Department State Agency Commander. It is important the emails to SEMC are continuously monitored. The SEMC emails are auto-forwarded to a number of senior staff in the Emergency Management Branch.

DISCLOSURE OF RELEVANT MATERIAL TO THE INQUIRY


3. To the best of your knowledge are there any documents (including emails) which you consider relevant to:

- (a) the Board's Terms of Reference (copy attached);
- (b) other issues raised before the Board,


which you believe have not been produced to the board?

If so:

- (i) Insofar as those documents are in your possession or control, please produce those documents; and



Page 4

(signature of authorised affidavit taker)  (signature of person swearing or affirming the affidavit)

- (ii) Insofar as you are aware of the existence of those documents but they are not in your possession or control please identify them as best you can by way of description (including, where possible, the title of the document, the author of the document, the recipient(s) of the document, the date on which it was generated and the person or entity in whose possession you believe it to be).

25. To the best of my knowledge, I do not know of any documents (including emails) that I consider relevant to the Board's Terms of Reference, that I believe have not been produced to the Board.
26. Below I refer to a number of documents and emails in my possession which I consider may be relevant to other issues raised before the Board. These issues before the Board primarily came to my attention in reading Counsel Assisting the Board's recommendations to the Board and also in reading the transcript of cross examination of witnesses in the Inquiry. I believe they may not have been produced to the Board. These matters primarily concern the provision of health and welfare supports at the commencement of the Hotel Quarantine Program, the involvement of public health in the Hotel Quarantine Program and Counsel Assisting's view that Public Health were not involved. I produce these documents now in response to the query in the NTP as to whether I believe there are documents relevant to the issues before the Board.

Issue raised before the Board: Health and Welfare response


27. I believe the emails set out below highlight how comprehensive the initial approach to support the health, safety and welfare of returned passengers from the first flight arriving were. The depth of the work undertaken by the Department provides important context to the conclusion drawn by Counsel Assisting that there was a lack of focus on health and welfare of passengers which the Board may not have fully considered or appreciated to date.
28. The emails and documents below, I believe, also show that on the initial stand up of the Hotel Quarantine Program that the health and wellbeing of the arriving passengers was a primary consideration from the inception of the program.
29. I did not provide any of these documents prior to the Board of Inquiry's hearings, when I was asked by the Department to provide any significant documents relevant to the Board of Inquiry as at no stage did I believe that there would be a suggestion that the Hotel Quarantine Program was not focused on the health and wellbeing of the passengers as there are a number of documents including the policy documents that would be produced to the Board of Inquiry.
30. Also, a number of these matters are matters that I specifically raise below are captured in the State Control Centre meetings on 27 and 28 March 2020 and I understand that the minutes of those meetings have been provided to the Board already.

Medical and Pharmaceutical arrangements

31. From the time of learning of the Hotel Quarantine Program on 27 March 2020, as Department State Agency Commander, a primary focus of mine was to develop and implement appropriate



Page 5

(signature of authorised affidavit taker)  (signature of person swearing or affirming the affidavit)

coordinated health supports for the returning passengers, based on our early assumptions of what may be required for a 14 day quarantine period. Many of these considerations and arrangements were discussed and implemented with the rostered on the Department State Emergency Management Centre team working from the State Control Centre on the 27 – 28 March 2020.

32. The decision to deploy *Field Emergency Management Officers (FEMO)* to the hotels was agreed through conversations with the State Health Commander, for a number of reasons including the FEMO's high level of medical experience and skill and also the ability for a FEMO's to prescribe pharmaceuticals – acknowledging that some returning passengers may not have scripts in their possession given the speed at which the program was announced. Whilst I do not have documents in my possession, I am aware of the existence of documents which may show the role and functions of the FEMO team and how they would operate with other elements of the medical response.
33. To ensure that returning passengers had access to prescription medication, arrangements were put in place on the 28 March 2020 with 24-hour pharmacies in proximity to the hotels to provide prescription medications. I do not have these documents in my possession or control, but I am aware of emails which show the work undertaken by the Department in regard to this matter.
34. I was involved in the coordination of the provision of nursing staff and PPE requirements of nursing staff needed to support the Hotel Quarantine Program. Nursing staff were a fundamental element of the health response in the Hotel Quarantine Program and a need for a 24-hour nursing presence was identified on 28 March 2020 to support returning passengers. Substantial numbers of nursing staff were required (DHS.0001.0132.0214).
35. To support nursing staff, on 28 March 2020 the Department worked to prepare a factsheet to provide basic information on the context of the working environment (being the Hotel Quarantine Program) and the basic requirements and expectations of nursing staff. On 28 March 2020, I received an email from a Department staff member providing me with a copy of the draft factsheet (see DHS.0001.0132.0062 and DHS.0001.0132.0063).

Welfare and safety arrangements for returning passengers

36. Further to the medical and pharmaceutical arrangements outlined above at 31 to 35, detailed consideration was also provided to support the welfare and safety of returning passengers. A number of measures were conceived and put in place before the first flight landed to screen for any health, welfare and safety issues.
37. The primary tool developed to identify any health, welfare and safety concerns was the development on 28 March 2020 of a wellbeing questionnaire to be answered by all passengers. The distribution of this questionnaire to staff leading the development of the airport and hotel operations is also documented (see DHS.0001.0132.0171, DHS.0001.0132.0174 and DHS.0001.0132.0175).

Greg Carford

38. Consideration was given to the best approach to managing any health issues or concerns of returning passengers while in hotel quarantine through the provision of telehealth services – either through the DJPR concierge service or the Department outboard welfare calls. These aspects of the health and wellbeing of returning passengers required significant coordination (see DHS.0001.0132.0002)
39. The stand-up of the Complex Assessment and Reponses Team (CART) was undertaken on 28 March 2020 to provide secondary consultations by high skilled practitioners to front line staff working in the Hotels or Airport for significant social, wellbeing or safety issues for passengers as they arose (see DHS.0001.0132.0193).
40. The coordination of all the elements outlined above was a significant task in itself in advance of the first flight arrivals on 29 March 2020. Many of the documents provided document the initial coordination of the medical, health and welfare supports stood up across both the DPJR and the Department teams at both the airport and hotels.

Passengers with high safety risks - food safety

41. In the first two or so weeks of the Hotel Quarantine Program being operational, we continued to face the challenge of passengers with food allergies. On 9 April 2020, the DCHO (Environment) approved a new policy to provide greater flexibility of the provision of food for those passengers who identified as suffering from food allergies and allowed access to food delivery services to the hotels (see DHS.5000.0001.2833).
42. With the benefit of hindsight there may have been gaps in the initial health and welfare response that was stood up. However any assessment must be done in the context of not knowing the number of returning passengers, not fully knowing what passengers needs may be for a 14 day period, how long the program would run for and only having 36 hours to prepare for the first arrival.

Issue Raise before the Board: Establishment of COVID positive hotel

43. It became apparent to me in Counsel Assisting's closing submissions that Counsel Assisting the Board has formed the view that standing up the COVID positive hotels was a logistical exercise rather than a health focused exercise. I believe that the documents provided below show that this was not the case and there was involvement of the public health team in the decision making process and therefore that these documents are relevant.
44. On 31 March 2020 I received an email from Dr Finn Romanes that stated, 'Note the Chief Health Officer has advised cohorting of positive COVID-19 cases in hotels should ideally be in one hotel only, or if necessary, on one floor of a hotel' (being part of Exhibit 114).



(signature of authorised affidavit taker)

(signature of person swearing or affirming the affidavit)

45. This advice was front of mind as the Hotel Quarantine Program progressed and more COVID-19 cases emerged requiring many hotels to have designated 'COVID Positive floors' or 'Red Floors' reducing the rooms available in contracted hotels, along with high numbers of passenger arrivals.
46. On 7 April 2020 I exchanged emails and text messages with Dr Finn Romanes on this issue given the capacity issues along with the impending return of passengers from the Greg Mortimer cruise ship. In my email to Dr Romanes on 7 April 2020 I sought advice and endorsement from Dr Romanes regarding the standing up a COVID positive hotel and on a suitable model of care to best support the COVID positive passengers. Dr Romanes confirmed that cohorting of positive cases in "one single hotel from a public health risk management perspective – as long as logistics can be handled – [is] the favoured public health model". I did not receive advice from Dr Romanes relating to a suitable model of care (see DHS.0001.0132.0169 and DHS.0001.0132.0146).
47. Furthermore, I sought to have a model of care developed to support the operation of the COVID positive hotel, that would provide clinical support to COVID-19 positive passengers, links to a hospital for escalation if required and provision of consumables such as PPE (see DHS.5000.0053.6632).

Issue Raised before the Board: Operation Soteria governance

48. I am aware that Counsel Assisting paid significant attention to an email from Dr Finn Romanes of 9 April 2020. I believe that an email of reply from Jason Helps of 13 April 2020 may be relevant to the Board as it outlines the initial response from the State Controller to Dr Romanes on 10 April 2020 as requested by Dr Romanes, and further exchanges as to finalise the updated Op Soteria Plan (see DHS.0001.0132.0147, DHS.0001.0132.0149, DHS.0001.0132.0150, DHS.0001.0132.0152, DHS.0001.0132.0158 and DHS.0001.0132.0159).

Issue Raised before the Board: Briefing of Ministers

49. It may be relevant to the Board in considering Counsel Assisting's submission that Ministers were not informed of Hotel Quarantine operations that on the 28 March 2020 at 6:07pm Professor Sutton sent me a text message seeking operational information on the first day of operations and seeking my views if there was anything related to hotel quarantine that needed to be raised with the Minister or Premier.
50. In my text message response at 6:17pm I did not seek to have any issues raised with the Minister or Premier as per Professor Suttons offer – nor did I discuss this further with Professor Sutton (see DHS.0001.0132.0145).
51. I do not know if Professor Sutton briefed the Minister or Premier following our text message exchange or at any other times.
52. I do not know whether the Board would find this exchange relevant, but I am providing it to the Board in the interest of completeness.



(signature of authorised affidavit taker)

(signature of person swearing or affirming the affidavit)

The contents of this affidavit are true and correct and I make it knowing that a person making a false affidavit may be prosecuted for the offence of perjury.

This document was electronically signed by audio-visual link in accordance with the COVID-19 Omnibus (Emergency Measures) (Electronic Signing and Witnessing) Regulations 2020.

This document was witnessed by audio-visual link in accordance with the COVID-19 Omnibus (Emergency Measures) (Electronic Signing and Witnessing) Regulations 2020.

Greg Carted

.....
(signature of authorised affidavit taker)

.....
(signature of person swearing or affirming the affidavit)

Affirmed by BRAEDAN HOGAN at Melbourne

in the State of Victoria

on 3 November 2020



BRAEDAN HOGAN

Before me



(signature of authorised affidavit taker)

on 3 November 2020

Gregory John Carfoot of 525 Collins Street, Melbourne VIC 3000 An Australian Legal Practitioner within the meaning of the Legal Profession Uniform Law (Vic)

19(1) of the Oaths and Affirmations Act 2018 to take an affidavit.

A person authorised under section 19(1) of the *Oaths and Affirmations Act 2018* to take an affidavit.

BOARD OF INQUIRY INTO THE COVID-19 HOTEL QUARANTINE PROGRAM

CERTIFICATE IDENTIFYING EXHIBIT

Date of document: 3 November 2020

Filed on behalf of: The Department of Health
and Human Services

Prepared by:

MINTER ELLISON

Lawyers
Rialto Towers
525 Collins Street
MELBOURNE VIC 3000

Solicitors Code 8510
DX 204 MELBOURNE
Telephone (03) 8608 2000
Facsimile (03) 8608 1000
Reference RLB 1305953

This is the exhibit marked 'BH-1' now produced and shown to BRAEDAN HOGAN at the time of affirming the person's affidavit on 3 November 2020.

Before me



(signature of authorised affidavit taker)



(signature of deponent)

on 3 November 2020

Gregory John Carfoot of 525 Collins Street, Melbourne VIC 3000 An Australian Legal Practitioner within the meaning of the Legal Profession Uniform Law (Vic)

A person authorised under section 19(1) of the *Oaths and Affirmations Act 2018* to take an affidavit.

This document was electronically signed by audio-visual link in accordance with the COVID-19 Omnibus (Emergency Measures) (Electronic Signing and Witnessing) Regulations 2020.

This document was witnessed by audio-visual link in accordance with the COVID-19 Omnibus (Emergency Measures) (Electronic Signing and Witnessing) Regulations 2020.

Exhibit BH-1
Index detailing each of the documents
and emails to be produced to the Board

Exhibit BH-1

Index detailing each of the documents and emails to be produced to the Board

Paragraph No.	Document Description	Document ID	Previously produced?
7 - 9	Email from Braedan Hogan to Andrea Spiteri responding to email from Dr Finn Romanes on 1 April 2020 titled 'Fwd: Information – Chain of command – people in detention'	DHS.5000.0077.9228	Yes
10 - 24	Emails between Braedan Hogan, Brett Sutton and other Department staff on 27 March 2020 titled 'RE: VIC Hotel Quarantine arrangements [DLM=For-Official-Use-Only]'	DHS.0001.0123.0011	Yes
15	Email exchange between Braedan Hogan, Dr Clare Looker, Jason Helps and other Department and DJPR staff on 27 March 2020 titled 'RE: HERE ARE THE QUESTIONS AS DISCUSSED'	DHS.0001.0132.0185	No
19 and 21	Email exchange between Braedan Hogan and [REDACTED] titled 'FW: Hotel security briefing' on 28 March 2020 attaching a document titled 'Security in hotels – roles and responsibilities'	DHS.0001.0132.0133 and DHS.0001.0132.0137	No
19	Email from Finn Romanes to Braedan Hogan on 28 March 2020 titled 'Automatic reply: Hotel security briefing'	DHS.0001.0132.0001	No
22	Email from Dr Finn Romanes to Meenda Naidu, copied to Braedan Hogan, on 1 April 2020 titled 'Instruction in relation to allowing smoking for people in mandatory quarantine'	DHS.5000.0096.3347	Yes
23	Email from Dr Finn Romanes to Annaliese van Dieman and Brett Sutton on 3 April 2020 titled 'FOR APPROVAL – DHHS Physical Distancing and Public Health Compliance and Enforcement Plan – 4 March 2020'	DHS.5000.0123.3240	Yes
23	Email from Sandy Austin to Andrea Spiteri, copied to the SEMC email address on 10 April titled 'FW: FOR APPROVAL – DHHS Physical Distancing and Public Health Compliance and Enforcement Plan – 4 March 2020'	DHS.0001.0132.0067	No
25 - 52	Board's Terms of Reference	N/A	N/A
30	State Control Centre minutes of meeting of 27 and 28 March 2020		Yes
34	Emails to and from Braedan Hogan and other Department staff on 28 March 2020 titled 'RE: Resource request'	DHS.0001.0132.0214	No
35	Email to Braedan Hogan from a Department staff member on 28 March 2020 titled 'draft nursing fact sheet'	DHS.0001.0132.0062 and DHS.0001.0132.0063	No
37	Email exchange between Braedan Hogan, Department staff and DJPR staff on 28 March 2020 titled 'RE: Discussion re concierge interaction with DHHS role'	DHS.0001.0132.0171, DHS.0001.0132.0174 and DHS.0001.0132.0175	No
38	Email to Braedan Hogan from a DJPR staff member, copied to others, on 28 March 2020 titled 'Confirmation of phone call'	DHS.0001.0132.0002	No
39	Emails to and from Braedan Hogan and other Department staff on 28 March 2020 titled 'RE: OFFICIAL: Contacts tomorrow'	DHS.0001.0132.0193	No
41	Emails to and from Braedan Hogan, other Department staff and DJPR staff on 9 April 2020 titled 'RE: Food allergies – direction from D/CHO'	DHS.5000.0001.2833	No
44	Emails to and from Meena Naidu, Dr Finn Romanes, Merrin Bamert and other Department staff on 31 March 2020 titled 'RE: CONFIDENTIAL – Positive COVID-19 Case at Hotel'	DHS.5000.0054.9093	Yes

46	Emails to and from Braedan Hogan, Dr Finn Romanes, Chris Eagle, Pam Williams, Merrin Bamert and other Department staff on 7 April titled 'RE: COVID positive passengers – Cohorting in one hotel'	DHS.0001.0132.0169	No
46	Text message exchange between Braedan Hogan and Dr Finn Romanes	DHS.0001.0132.0146	No
47	Emails to and from Braedan Hogan and other Department staff on 8 April titled 'RE: Model of care development – COVID positive hotel'	DHS.5000.0053.6632	No
48	Emails and from Braedan Hogan, Dr Finn Romanes, Jason Helps, Dr Simon Crouch, Andrea Spiteri, Merrin Bamert, Pam Williams and other Department staff on 13 April 2020 titled 'Operation Soteria Plan – follow up Request'	DHS.0001.0132.0147, DHS.0001.0132.0149, DHS.0001.0132.0150, DHS.0001.0132.0152, DHS.0001.0132.0158, DHS.0001.0132.0159	No
49-50	Text message exchange between Braedan Hogan and Dr Brett Sutton	DHS.0001.0132.0145	No